

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,780	11/04/2003	Sue Feng	5725.0895-02	5902
. 22852 FINNEGAN H	7590 04/10/2007 IENDERSON, FARABO	EXAMINER		
LLP		VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1615	
•	•			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 04/10/2007		04/10/2007	PAP	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/699,780	FENG ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT Ph. D	1615				
Poriod (The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
WHI - Extending aftender - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN on the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. For SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr . cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. \$ 133).				
Status	.,		1				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 D	ecember 2006					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the r							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposi	tion of Claims						
		75 192 194 and 203-206 islare	nending in the application				
٠,٣		Claim(s) <u>95,97,98,101,123,135,142,167,170,175,192,194 and 203-206</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,					
	Claim(s) <u>95,97,98,101,123,135,142,167,170,1</u>	75:192.194.203.205 and 206 is	s/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) 204 is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers		•				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		e Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119	(a)-(d) or (f)				
) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 50 5.5.5. 3 110	(a) (a) or (i).				
- ,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ation No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Bureau						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
	·						
	nt(s)						
Attachmer	, ,	4) ☐ Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)				
Attachmer 1)	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) ☐ Notice of Informa					

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of IDS filed on 12/27/06. Claims 1-95, 99-100, 102-122, 124-134, 136-141, 143-166, 168-169, 171-174, 176-191, 193 and 195-202 are canceled. Claims 95, 97, 98, 101, 123, 135, 142, 167,170, 175, 192, 194, and 203-206 are pending in the application and the status of the application is as follows:

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/27/06 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

Foreign patents, which are not in English and that, do not have corresponding U. S. equivalents or English equivalents have been considered to the extent that it reads only on the abstract.

Upon further review of the claims and the specification, prosecution has been resumed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 95, 97, 98, 101, 123, 135, 142, 167,170, 175, 192, 194, and 203, and 205-206 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for providing intense color using the specific polymer (species) of claim 204, does not reasonably provide enablement for providing intense color using (i) at least one heteropolymer comprising a polymer skeleton which comprises at least one hydrocarbon-based repeating unit comprising at least one hetero atom or one heteropolymer is chosen from polyamide polymers of formula (I);

Art Unit: 1615

The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue". See In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ 2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1998). The court set forth the eight factors to consider when assessing if a disclosure would require undue experimentation. Citing Ex parte Forman, 230 USPQ 546, the court recited eight factors

These factors include, but are not limited to:

- 1) The breadth of the claims,
- 2) The nature of the invention,
- 3) The state of the prior art,
- 4) The level of one of ordinary skill,
- 5) The level of predictability in the art,
- 6) The amount of direction provided by the inventor,
- 7) The existence of working examples
- 8) The quantity of experimentation needed to male or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.
- (1 and 2) <u>The breadth of the claims and the nature of the invention</u>: The claims are drawn to:

Art Unit: 1615

Page 4

Claim 96 (Previously presented): A method of providing intense color to a composition chosen from one or more of mascara, an eyeliner, a foundation, a lipstick, a blusher, a make-up removing product, a make-up product for the body, an eyeshadow, a face powder, a concealer, a shampoo, a conditioner, an anti-sun product, a care product for skin, a care product for lips, and a care product for hair comprising including in said composition:

- (i) at least one heteropolymer comprising a polymer skeleton which comprises at least one hydrocarbon-based repeating unit comprising at least one hetero atom; and
 - (ii) at least one coloring agent,

wherein said at least one heteropolymer is included in said composition in an amount effective to provide said intense color.

Art Unit: 1615

Claim 123 (Original): The method according to claim 96, wherein said at least one heteropolymer is chosen from polyamide polymers of formula (I):

in which:

- n is an integer which represents the number of amide units such that the number of ester groups present in said at least one polyamide polymer ranges from 10% to 50% of the total number of all ester groups and all amide groups comprised in said at least one polyamide polymer;
- R¹, which are identical or different, are each chosen from alkyl groups comprising at least 4 carbon atoms and alkenyl groups comprising at least 4 carbon atoms;
- R^2 , which are identical or different, are each chosen from C_4 to C_{42} hydrocarbon-based groups with the proviso that at least 50% of all R^2 are chosen from C_{30} to C_{42} hydrocarbon-based groups;
- R³, which are identical or different, are each chosen from organic groups comprising atoms chosen from carbon atoms, hydrogen atoms, oxygen atoms and nitrogen atoms, with the proviso that R³ comprises at least 2 carbon atoms; and
- R⁴, which are identical or different, are each chosen from hydrogen atoms, C₁ to C₁₀ alkyl groups and direct bonds to at least one group chosen from R³ and another R⁴ such

that when said at least one group is chosen from another R⁴, the nitrogen atom to which both R³ and R⁴ are bonded forms part of a heterocyclic structure defined in part by R⁴-N-R³, with the proviso that at least 50% of all R⁴ are chosen from hydrogen atoms.

Art Unit: 1615

Claim 205 (Previously presented): The method according to claim 203, wherein the at least one heteropolymer is chosen from ethylenediamine/stearyl dimer dilinoleate copolymer.

Page 6

(6-7) <u>The amount of direction provided by the inventors and the existence of working</u>

<u>examples:</u> Applicants have provided in the specification one tables which shows method providing color using one species belonging to formula I. See below for test results.

Art Unit: 1615

[00125] **EXAMPLES.**

[00126] The following compositions were prepared and their gloss and color were evaluated.

INCI Name	Inventive Composition	Comparative Composition	
A			
Preservative	1.40	1.40	
Film Formers	7.40	7.40	
Thickening agent	0.10	0.10	
Humectant	. 2.00	· 2.00	
Triethanolamine	1.50	1.50	
Anti-foam agent	0.10	0.10	
8			
Waxes	10.75	17.02	
Glyceryl Stearate	. 4.00	4.00	
Stearic acid	3.00	3.00	
Ethylenediamine/ Tall oil dimer acid/ Stearyl alcohol copolymer	6.27		
Black Pigment	6.00	- 6.00	
C			
Dimethicone copolyol	0.30	0.30	
Cyclopentasiloxane	2.00	2.00	
Cyclopentasiloxane/ Dimethiconol	3.00	3.00	
Fillers	3.00	3.00	
Conditioning agents	0.70	. 0.70	

[00127] The components of phase A, except for the pigment, were blended together in water and the mixture was heated to a temperature ranging from 90°C to 95°C. Once

Art Unit: 1615

Page 8

the waxes had melted, the pigment was dispersed into the mixture with stirring. Separately, the components of phase B were blended together in water and the mixture was heated to a temperature ranging from 95°C to 100°C. The two mixtures where then combined with agitation and the combination was homogenized. The combined mixture was then cooled to a temperature ranging from 60°C to 65°C, and the components of phase C were added.

[00128] The gloss and the color of the inventive composition comprising at least one heteroatom (ethylenediamine/tall oil dimer acid/stearyl alcohol copolymer) and the comparative composition were evaluated and compared as follows. The gloss and the color of each of the compositions were visually evaluated by spreading a similar amount of each composition onto a piece of white paper. The color of the inventive composition was observed to be much more intense than the color of the comparative composition. Further, the inventive composition was observed to be much glossier than the comparative composition indicating greater dispersion of the pigment in the inventive composition.

Only one species was tested.

(8) The quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention bases on the content of the disclosure: The claims recite hetero polymer comprising polymer skeleton. This includes myriad of polymers. The same is true for polymers of formula I. The instant specification gives one skilled in the art no indication that the one could use the any polymer of claim 96 or all the polymers of formula I and have a reasonable expectation of success suing the polymer and coloring agent only. Therefore further

Art Unit: 1615

testing would be necessary to use the claimed invention and the practice of the full scope of the invention would require undue experimentation.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 204 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT Ph. D whose telephone number is 571-272-0607. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30-7:30:1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL WOODWARD can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1615

Page 10

IVOTHSNA A VENKAT Ph.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1615
